

Available online at www.ujpronline.com Universal Journal of Pharmaceutical Research

An International Peer Reviewed Journal ISSN: 2831-5235 (Print); 2456-8058 (Electronic)

Copyright©2022; The Author(s): This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the CC BY-NC 4.0 which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium for non-commercial use provided the original author and source are credited



**RESEARCH ARTICLE** 

## MAXILLARY SINUS SEPTA: PREVALENCE AND ASSOCIATION WITH GENDER AND LOCATION IN THE MAXILLA AMONG ADULTS IN SANA'A CITY, YEMEN

Yahya Abdullah Ahmed Alhadi<sup>1</sup>, Nashwan Yahya A Al-Shamahi<sup>2</sup>, Khaled A AL-Haddad<sup>3</sup>, Abdulwahab Ismail Mohamed Al-Kholani<sup>4</sup>, Mohammed Mohammed Ali Al-Najhi<sup>4,5</sup>, Hassan Abdulwahab Al-Shamahy<sup>6,7</sup>, Mohammed A Al-labani<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Oral and Maxillo-Facial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Sana'a University, Republic of Yemen.

<sup>2</sup>Medicine Department, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Sana'a University, Republic of Yemen.
 <sup>3</sup>Orthodontics, Pedodontics and Prevention Department Faculty of Dentistry, Sana'a University, Yemen.
 <sup>4</sup>Department of conservative dentistry, Faculty of Dentistry, Sana'a University, Republic of Yemen.
 <sup>5</sup>Orthodontics, Pedodontics and Prevention Department Faculty of Dentistry, Genius University for Sciences & Technology,

Dhamar city, Republic of Yemen.

<sup>6</sup>Departement of Basic Sciences, Faculty of Dentistry, Sana'a University, Republic of Yemen. <sup>7</sup>Medical Microbiology department, Faculty of Medicine, Genius University for Sciences & Technology, Dhamar city, Yemen.

## **Article Info:**



Article History: Received: 22 March 2022 Reviewed: 7 May 2022 Accepted: 13 June 2022 Published: 15 July 2022

#### Cite this article:

Alhadi YAA, Al-Shamahi NYA, AL-Haddad KA, Al-Kholani AIM, Al-Najhi MMA, Al-Shamahy HA, Al-labani MA. Maxillary sinus septa: prevalence and association with gender and location in the maxilla among adults in Sana'a city, Yemen. Universal Journal of Pharmaceutical Research 2022; 7(3):20-26. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v7i3.775

#### \*Address for Correspondence:

**Dr. Hassan A. Al-Shamahy**, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Sana'a University. Faculty of Medicine, Genius University for Sciences and Technology, Dhamar/Sana'a, Yemen. Tel- +967-1-239551; E-mail: *shmahe@yemen.net.ye* 

## Abstract

**Background and aims:** Maxillary sinus septa are partitions of cortical bone dividing the sinus into multiple compartments. Their presence and dimension are of relevance to periodontitis, oral and maxillofacial surgeons as well as otolaryngologists. The aim of this study was to determine the prevalence and association of maxillary sinus septum with gender and location in maxilla among adults in Sana'a city, Yemen.

**Methods:** This is a retrospective study carried out on 633 patient orthopantomograms (OPGs) which had visible maxillary sinus without pathological or developmental changes from the first of March 2021 to the end of February 2022 at the Department of Oral and Maxillo-Facial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Sana'a University and private clinics in Sana'a city. Bivariate analysis was performed to test for association between the dependent variables (maxillary sinus septum presence, presentation and position) and independent variables (gender and location).

**Results:** The mean age of the patients was 29.59 years, range from 16 -56 years, and females 380 (60.0%). Of 259 (40.9%) maxillary sinus septum identified, 206 (79.5 %%) were from females. Majority 180 (69.5%) were unilateral, while 79 (30.5%) were bilateral. Occurrence of unilateral maxillary sinus septum was higher in males 104 (41.1%) with OR=2.8, 95% CI=1.9-3.98, p<0.0001; than females (20%), while bilateral septum occurs roughly in equal rates in both gender (11.86 VS 12.89%).

**Conclusions:** This study has shown a significant proportion of maxillary sinus septum among patients with visible orthopantomogram without pathology in Sana'a, Yemen, with the highest proportion being females. There is therefore the need for the occurrence and presentation of maxillary sinus septum in this study to serve as a baseline data in the treatment of dental implant and surgical procedures in Sana'a, Yemen.

Keywords: Maxillary sinus; prevalence; septa; variation, Yemen.

### INTRODUCTION

Previously, the maxillary septum was considered a normal contrast with little clinical significance. But nowadays, the importance of the maxillary septum has become clear after the popularity of maxillary sinus augmentation procedures for dental implants. The mechanism controlling septal development is not known to be clear, although a role for irregular sinus floor pneumatization after tooth extraction has been suggested. Determining the location and structure of the sinus septum is essential for sinus surgeries and especially sinus augmentation in implant surgery<sup>1</sup>. The maxillary sinus septum is the septum of the cortical bone that divides the floor of the maxillary sinuses into multiple compartments, which are known as recesses<sup>2</sup>. The role of the septum is to separate the sinuses into two or more antras, as well as to strengthen the bone structure of the sinuses<sup>1</sup>. It is known that the presence and dimensions of the maxillary septum are of practical relevance to periodontists, oral and maxillofacial surgeons, as well as ENT specialists<sup>3</sup>. The existence of the maxillary sinus septum has been revealed to be a risk factor for sinus membrane perforation during external lifting procedures of the maxillary sinus<sup>4</sup>. Disappointingly, there is inadequate data on the prevalence and pattern of the maxillary sinus septum and its relationship to maxillary sinusitis. Regarding implant placement, septa that appear in the floor of the sinuses or along the side wall can interfere with their placement either directly by being in the area of their placement or indirectly by impeding wall height during the side sinus approach<sup>5</sup>. Structurally, the maxillary sinus septum has been described as inverted gothic arches originating from the inferior and lateral walls of the sinuses and reaching a sharp edge along their apical edges; they can divide the sinuses into two or more parts<sup>6</sup>. Vinter *et al.*, note that the resorption of the maxillary alveolar process takes place irregularly in different areas leaving bony apex on the floor of the sinusoids<sup>7</sup>. Krennmair *et al.*, classifies the congenital and acquired septum into primary septum, which can develop in all regions of the maxillary sinuses, arising during maxillary development, and secondary septa, which arise from irregular pneumatization of the sinus floor following tooth loss<sup>8</sup>. However, the exact mechanism responsible maxillary for septum development is still unclear, although a role for the irregular pneumatization of the sinus floor following tooth loss has been suggested<sup>5</sup>. The prevalence of multiple septa in the maxillary sinus varies from 7% to 58% in the literature<sup>7</sup>. However, possible differences between ethnic groups may contribute to these differences<sup>9</sup>.

In Yemen, epidemiological or curative studies devoted to research in dental sciences are still limited and none of these studies dealt with the prevalence of maxillary sinus septa in sample of Yemeni population<sup>10-0</sup>, and for this reason it is necessary to strengthen, update, build and continue to bear the costs of studies on topics of interest to oral and dental surgery with a plan to achieve a better effect to the public and health, with the dissemination of appropriate knowledge with a plan to enhance the success of surgical procedures and reduce the potential subsequent consequences of the failure of these treatments. Therefore, this study will provide an insight on the prevalence of maxillary sinus septa in sample of Yemeni population.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This is a retrospective study carried out in the Department of Oral and Maxillo-Facial Surgery, Faculty of Dentistry, Sana'a University clinics after ethical approval from the institution. The study was carried out for a period of 12 months.

**Sample size calculation:** The sample size of 634 was estimated assuming an expected prevalence of maxillary sinus septum of 34.18% from a previous study in Yemen<sup>31</sup>, margin of error 3.69 with a confidence level of 95% using the Epi Info 7.2 calculator (CDC, Atlanta, USA), but 633 it is the number that was collected and its data analyzed, and this difference would not affect the results of the study. **Study population:** 

**Inclusion criteria:** This includes all the patients orthopantomograms acquired from Proline XC modal (Planmeca Co., Helsinki, Finland) taken as part of treatment planning or oral diagnosis, that had full set of teeth with clear and visible maxillary sinuses, from the first of March 2021 to the end of February 2022.

**Data collection:** The orthopantomograms were examined by an oral and maxillofacial radiologist (to obtain more accurate results) to reveal the maxillary sinus septum. Presence or absence of maxillary sinus septum, presentation, position, and location were retrieved then register with patient age and sex data using a questionnaire that was kept until the results were analyzed.

**Exclusion criteria:** Orthopantomogram with poor quality, lacking sufficient contrast, distorted image and clarity with evidence of previous sinus intervention and pathological or developmental changes in the maxillary sinuses were excluded from the study (they were excluded because it is difficult to reveal the maxillary sinus septum).

Statistical analysis: Data were analyzed with Epi Info 7.2 (CDC, Atlanta, USA). The continuous variable (age) was summarized with mean and standard deviation while the categorical variables (maxillary sinus septum presence, presentation, position, location, and patients age group and gender) were summarized with frequency and percentages and display as tables. Bivariate analysis was performed to test for association between the independent variables (gender and location) and dependent variables (maxillary sinus septum presence, presentation and position). A *p*-value<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Ethical Consideration:** Ethical approval for this study, No: 371 dated January 21, 2021 was obtained from the Medical Ethics and Research Committee of the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Sana'a University. All procedures were according to the ethical guidelines of the review committee.

## RESULTS

The study included 253 (39.96%) males and 380 (60.04%) females. Most of the participants were in the age group 26-35 years (40.6%), followed by 16-25 years (37.3%) and 36-45 years (19.3%), while only 2.8% were in the age group >45 years. The mean age of the patients was 29.59 years, and range from 16-56 years. The current rate of the maxillary septum was 40.9% (Table 1). Considered the septa presentation, the rate of bilateral septum was 52.96%, while the rate of the unilateral septum was 54.2%. Looking at septa

\_\_\_\_\_

position, the rate of right septum was 57.64%, while the rate for the left septum was 42.35%.

. . . .

| Table 1: Characteristics of patients. |                       |  |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Characteristics                       | n (%)                 |  |
| Age group (years)                     | Mean age =29.59 years |  |
|                                       | Range =16-56 years    |  |
| 16-25                                 | 236 (37.3)            |  |
| 26-35                                 | 257 (40.6)            |  |
| 36-45                                 | 122 (19.3)            |  |
| > 45                                  | 18 (2.8)              |  |
| Total                                 | 633 (100)             |  |
| Gender                                |                       |  |
| Male                                  | 253 (39.96)           |  |
| Female                                | 380 (60.04)           |  |
| Maxillary septum                      |                       |  |
| Present                               | 259 (40.9)            |  |
| Absent                                | 374(59.1)             |  |

Considering the septa location, most of the septa location was anterior (42.94%), followed by the middle location (37.05%), while the posterior septal location was only 20% (Table 2). There was no significant association of the presence of the maxillary septum with gender with OR=0.95, 95% CI=0.69-1.3, p=0.75.

There was a significant association of the presence of the maxillary septum with the anterior site with OR=3.0595% CI=2.34 - 3.99, p < 0.0001. Also, there was a significant association between the presence of the maxillary septum with the middle site with OR=2.1,95% CI=1.6-2.7, p < 0.0001.

# Table 2: Presentation, position and location of

| Septum presence     | n (%)       |  |
|---------------------|-------------|--|
| Septum presentation | 259 (40.9)  |  |
| Bilateral           | 134 (52.96) |  |
| Unilateral          | 206 (54.2)  |  |
| Septum position     |             |  |
| Right               | 196 (57.64) |  |
| Left                | 144 (42.35) |  |
| Septum location     |             |  |
| Anterior            | 146 (42.94) |  |
| Middle              | 126 (37.05) |  |
| Posterior           | 68 (20)     |  |

However, there was no association between the presence of the maxillary sinus septum with posterior location with OR=0.67, 95% CI=0.5-0.9, p=0.009 (Table 3).

#### Table 3: Association of maxillary sinus septum presence with gender and location.

| Characteristics | Maxillary sinus       | <b>Bivariate analysis</b> |          |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|----------|
|                 | septum                |                           |          |
|                 | n (%)                 | OR (95% CI)               | р        |
| Gender          |                       |                           |          |
| Male n=253      | 134 (52.96)           | 0.95 (0.69-1.3)           | 0.75     |
| Female n=380    | 206 (54.21) Reference |                           |          |
| Location        |                       |                           |          |
| Anterior n=633  | 146 (42.94)           | 3.05 (2.34-3.99)          | < 0.0001 |
| Middle n=633    | 126 (37.05)           | 2.1 (1.6-2.7)             | < 0.0001 |
| Posterior n=633 | 68 (20)               | 0.67 (0.5-0.9)            | 0.009    |

Considering the aassociation of maxillary sinus septum bilateral presentation with gender and location, there was no significant association of the bilateral presence of the maxillary septum with gender with OR=0.9, 95% CI=0.55-1.4, p=0.69. While there was a significant association of the presence of the bilateral maxillary septum with the anterior location with OR=3.2, 95% CI=2.1-4.9, p<0.0001. Also, there was a

significant association between the presence of the bilateral maxillary septum with the middle location with OR=2.4, 95% CI=1.5-3.6, p<0.0001. Also, there was a significant association between the presence of the bilateral maxillary septum with the posterior location with OR=4.99, 95% CI=2.96-8.4, p<0.0001 (Table 4).

#### Table 4: Association of maxillary sinus septum bilateral presentation with gender and location.

| Characteristics | Septum presentation | <b>Bivariate analysis</b> |          |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------------|----------|
| _               | Bilateral           | OR (95% CI)               | р        |
|                 | n (%)               |                           |          |
| Gender          |                     |                           |          |
| Male n=253      | 30 (11.86)          | 0.9 (0.55-1.4)            | 0.69     |
| Female n=380    | 49 (12.89) Refrence |                           |          |
| Location        |                     |                           |          |
| Anterior n=146  | 56 (38.35)          | 3.2 (2.1 - 4.9)           | < 0.0001 |
| Middle n=126    | 43 (34.12           | 2.4 (1.5-3.6)             | < 0.0001 |
| Posterior n=68  | 35 (51.47)          | 4.99 (2.96-8.4)           | < 0.0001 |
| Total n=633     | 134 (21.16)         |                           |          |

Considering the aassociation of maxillary sinus septum unilateral presentation with gender and location, there was significant association of the unilateral presence of the maxillary septum with male gender with ratio=41.1%, OR=2.8, 95% CI=1.9-3.98, *p*<0.0001. There was a significant association of the presence of the unilateral maxillary septum with the anterior location with OR=4.9, 95% CI=3.4-7.2, p<0.0001.

Also, there was a significant association between the presence of the unilateral maxillary septum with the

middle location with OR=4.3, 95% CI=2.8-6.5, p<0.0001. Also, there was a significant association between the presence of the unilateral maxillary septum with the posterior location with OR=2.2, 95% CI=1.3-3.6, p=0.002 (Table 5). Considering the

aassociation of maxillary sinus septum right position with gender and location, there was no significant association of the right presence of the maxillary septum with gender with, OR=1.38, 95% CI=0.98-1.9, p=0.06.

| Characteristics | Septum           | Bivariate analysis |          |  |
|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|----------|--|
|                 | presentation     |                    |          |  |
|                 | Unilateral n (%) | OR (95%CI)         | р        |  |
| Gender          |                  |                    |          |  |
| Male n=253      | 104 (41.1)       | 2.8 (1.9-3.98)     | < 0.0001 |  |
| Female n=380    | 76 (20)          |                    |          |  |
| Location        |                  |                    |          |  |
| Anterior n=146  | 81 (55.47)       | 4.9 (3.4-7.2)      | < 0.0001 |  |
| Middle n=126    | 69 (54.76)       | 4.3 (2.8-6.5)      | < 0.0001 |  |
| Posterior n=68  | 30 (44.12)       | 2.2 (1.3-3.6)      | 0.002    |  |
| Total n=633     | 180 (28.43)      |                    |          |  |

There was a significant association of the presence of the right maxillary septum with the anterior location with OR=4.9, 95% CI=3.3-7.2, p<0.0001. Also, there was a significant association between the presence of the right maxillary septum with the middle location

with OR=3.8, 95% CI=2.5-5.7, p<0.0001. Also, there was a significant association between the presence of the right maxillary septum with the posterior location with OR=2.8, 95% CI=1.95-3.98, p<0.0001 (Table 6).

Table 6: Association of maxillary sinus septum right position with gender and location.

| Characteristics | Septum position | <b>Bivariate analysis</b> |          |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------|
|                 | Right n (%)     | OR (95%CI)                | р        |
| Gender          |                 |                           |          |
| Male n=253      | 89 (35.18)      | 1.38 (0.98- 1.9)          | 0.06     |
| Female n=380    | 107 (28.16      |                           |          |
| Location        |                 |                           |          |
| Anterior n=146  | 86 (58.9)       | 4.9 (3.3-7.2)             | < 0.0001 |
| Middle n=126    | 70 (55.56)      | 3.8 (2.5-5.7)             | < 0.0001 |
| Posterior n=68  | 40 (58.82)      | 2.8 (1.95-3.98)           | < 0.0001 |
| Total n=633     | 196 (30.96)     |                           |          |

Considering the aassociation of maxillary sinus septum left position with gender and location, there was significant association of the left position of the maxillary septum with male gender with ratio= 28.1% (vs 19.1% of female), OR=1.6, 95% CI=1.1-2.3, p=0.009. There was a significant association of the presence of the left maxillary septum with the anterior location with OR=3.3, 95% CI=2.2- 5, p<0.0001. Also, there was a significant association between the right maxillary septum with the middle location with OR=3.99, 95% CI=2.6- 6.1, p<0.0001. Also, there was a significant association between the presence of the right maxillary septum with the posterior location with OR=2.5, 95% CI=1.4-4.3, p=0.004 (Table 7).

| Table 7: Association of maxillary sinus septu | Im left position with gender and location. |
|---|--|
|---|--|

| Characteristics | Septum position | Bivariate analysis |          |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|----------|
|                 | Left n (%)      | OR (95%CI)         | р        |
| Gender          |                 |                    |          |
| Male n=253      | 71 (28.1)       | 1.6 (1.1-2.3)      | 0.009    |
| Female n=380    | 73 (19.21)      |                    |          |
| Location        |                 |                    |          |
| Anterior n=146  | 60 (41.1)       | 3.3 (2.2-5)        | < 0.0001 |
| Middle n=126    | 57 (45.2)       | 3.99 (2.6-6.1)     | < 0.0001 |
| Posterior n=68  | 27 (39.71)      | 2.5 (1.4-4.3)      | 0.004    |
| Total n=633     | 144 (22.75)     |                    |          |

## DISCUSSION

The present study observed the maxillary sinus septum on panoramic radiographs. This method is the most common diagnostic method used in preoperative evaluation of the paranasal sinuses, and it is affordable<sup>32</sup>. The incidence of the maxillary septum at the current study was 40.3% by subjects (Table 2); numbers roughly parallel to those reported among the Jordan population by Samara *et al.*,<sup>33</sup> where the prevalence of maxillary sinus septum was 42.3% <sup>33</sup>. Zyl *et al.*,<sup>34</sup> however, they reported a higher prevalence of maxillary sinus septum where the prevalence of maxillary sinus septa was 69%, with a large number of these patients showing multiple septa<sup>34</sup>. On the other hand, Yang *et al.*,<sup>35</sup> a very low prevalence of 9% of the

maxillary sinus septum was reported <sup>35</sup>. This difference in prevalence can be justified by the dissimilar methods used in the different studies, or by differences between CT and panorama machines, as recent versions make visualization and identification of the upper septum much easier, and this is reflected as higher prevalence. The result of the current study showed no association between septal incidence and sex as septal prevalence was almost equal in both sexes (males=52.96% vs females=54.2%, with OR=0.95, 95% CI=0.69-1.3, p=0.75) (Table 3). These results were different from the observations reported by Shen *et al.*,<sup>9</sup> Al-Zahrani *et al.*,<sup>36</sup> Orhan *et al.*,<sup>37</sup>; the male septal rate was higher than that of female patients. Obtained results also differ

from those reported by Park *et al.*,<sup>1</sup> and Malik *et al.*,<sup>38</sup> where the septum rate is higher in females than in males <sup>1,38</sup>. The average maximum bite force in males is observed to be significantly higher than in females, which could explain the difference in septa prevalence based on sex in previous studies<sup>39</sup>. Moreover, in the current study, there was significant association of the unilateral presence of the maxillary septum with male gender with ratio=41.1%, OR=2.8, 95% CI=1.9-3.98, p<0.0001 (Table 5). Similar observations have been reported in studies by Shen *et al.*,<sup>9</sup> Al-Zahrani *et al.*,<sup>36</sup>, and Orhan *et al.*,<sup>37</sup>. However, a greater prevalence of females has been observed by Park *et al.*,<sup>1</sup> and Malec *et al.*,<sup>38</sup>.

The right maxilla showed a greater spread of the septum compared to the left (64.44% vs 35.2%) (Table 2). Dandekeri *et al.*,<sup>5</sup> and Kannaperuman *et al.*,<sup>40</sup> studies have also shown a greater presence of the septa on the right side than on the left side<sup>5,40</sup>; While Park *et al.*,<sup>1</sup> note a greater prevalence of the left-sided septum although Park *et al.*, result's was not statistically significant<sup>1</sup>. While Samara *et al.*,<sup>33</sup> reported that the occurrence and rate of the maxillary septum in the right and left sinuses are more often than not the same, which is about 8%<sup>33</sup>; in fact this is also comparable to the results of Shen *et al.*, where the rate of the nasal septum on the right side was comparable to the left side<sup>9</sup>.

Septa are categorized under headlines such as septa morphology, orientation and location. When septa are estimated in terms of location, anterior septa be located between the first and second premolar locality (sinus anterior wall) and the mesial of the first molar. The septa of middle region are existent between the mesial of the first molar and the mesial of the second molar. Posterior septa are between the distal of the second molar and the posterior sinus wall<sup>41</sup>. The current study showed that the largest number of septa was located in the anterior region (42.94%) followed by the middle region (37.05%) and less frequently the posterior region (20%) (Table 2). Similar prevalence of the septum in the f anterior region was revealed by Selcuk *et al.*,<sup>42</sup> and Jang *et al.*,<sup>43</sup> studies in which the anterior septum is reported to be more prevalent than the middle and posterior region<sup>42,43</sup>. However, current findings were different from those reported by Park et al.,<sup>1</sup>; Shen et al.,<sup>9</sup> and Orhan et al.,<sup>37</sup> in which septal prevalence in the middle region was the predominant feature in both CBCT (cone beam tomography) panoramic radiography.

Due to the raise in sinus floor elevation measures by general practitioners, additional complications of sinus floor elevation are observed. This is may be due to deficient in formal training or insufficient training to perform this type of surgery and ignorance of sinus anatomy. This underscores the need for studies of the maxillary sinus for the different regions of the world. Schneiderian membrane perforation has been reported to be as high as 44% as reported by Cakur *et al.*,<sup>44</sup> and Schwartz-Arad et al.,45. One of the possible causes of such a high perforation rate of the Schneiderian membrane during sinus floor elevation is the presence of septum<sup>45</sup>. Presence of septa in the floor of the sinus or along the lateral wall, can interfere with the placement of an implant either directly by being present in its area of placement or indirectly by hindering the elevation of the wall during lateral sinus approach<sup>5</sup>.

## Limitations

This research suffers from specific limitations ranging from common flaws (not all results and answers to all research questions were comparative and justified) due to the lack of previous comparable studies in Yemen. There were methodological problems such as one case missing from the study, and the participants were not representative of the population of Yemen, so it is recommended that further studies in a larger sample size be conducted in different regions in Yemen using CT technology.

## CONCLUSIONS

This study has shown a significant proportion of maxillary sinus septum among patients with visible orthopantomogram without pathology in Sana'a, Yemen, with the highest proportion being females. The most common septum presentation was unilateral which appears typically among males. There is therefore the need for the occurrence and presentation of maxillary sinus septum in this study to serve as a baseline data in the treatment of dental implant and surgical procedures in Sana'a, Yemen.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the Faculty of Dentistry, Sana'a University, Sana'a, Yemen for their generous support.

## **AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS**

Alhadi YAA: supervision. Al-Shamahi NYA: examination of orthopedic images. AL-Haddad KA: methodology, conceptualization. Al-Kholani AIM: formal analysis, review. Al-Najhi MMA: critical review, supervision. Al-Shamahy HA: writing original draft, literature survey. Al-labani MA: data curation, investigation. All authors revised the article and approved the final version.

## DATA AVAILABILITY

The data supporting the findings of this study are not currently available in a public repository but can be made available upon request to the corresponding author.

### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

No conflict of interest associated with this work.

#### REFERENCES

- Park YB, Jeon HS, Shim JS, Lee KW, Moon HS. Analysis of the anatomy of the maxillary sinus septum using 3dimensional computed tomography. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2011; 69(4):1070-8.
  - https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joms.2010.07.020
- Pommer B, Ulm C, Lorenzoni M, Palmer R, Watzek G, Zechner W. Prevalence, location and morphology of maxillary sinus septa: Systematic review and meta-analysis. J Clin Periodontol 2012; 39(8):769–73. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-051X.2012.01897.x
- 3. Rysz M, Bakoń L. Maxillary sinus anatomy variation and nasal cavity width: Structural computed tomography imaging. Folia Morphologica 2009; 68(4):260–4.
- Von Arx T, Fodich I, Bornstein MM, Jensen SS. Perforation of the sinus membrane during sinus floor elevation: A retrospective study of frequency and possible risk factors. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 2014; 29(3):718-26. <u>https://doi.org/10.11607/jomi.3657</u>
- Dandekeri SS, Hegde C, Kavassery P, Sowmya MK, Shetty B. CBCT study of morphologic variations of maxillary sinus septa in relevance to sinus augmentation procedures. Ann Maxillofac Surg 2020; 10(1):51–6. https://doi.org/10.4103/ams.ams\_141\_19
- Koymen R, Gocmen-Mas N, Karacayli U, Ortakoglu K, Ozen T, Yazici AC. Anatomic evaluation of maxillary sinus septa: Surgery and radiology. Clin Anat 2009; 22(5):563–70. https://doi.org/10.1002/ca.20813
- Vinter I, Krmpotić-Nemanić J, Hat J, Jalsovec D. Does the alveolar process of the maxilla always disappear after tooth loss? Laryngorhinootologie 1993 Dec; 72(12):605–7. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2007-997963
- Krennmair G, Ulm C, Lugmayr H. Maxillary sinus septa: incidence, morphology and clinical implications. J Craniomaxillofac Surg 1997; 25(5):261–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1010-5182(97)80063-7.
- Shen EC, Fu E, Chiu TJ, Chang V, Chiang CY, Tu HP. Prevalence and location of maxillary sinus septa in the Taiwanese population and relationship to the absence of molars. Clin Oral Implants Res 2012; 23(6):741–5. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0501.2011.02195.x
- Abbas AM, Al-Kibsi TAM, Al-Akwa AAY, AL-Haddad KA, Al-Shamahy HA, Al-labani MA. Characterization and antibiotic sensitivity of bacteria in orofacial abscesses of odontogenic origin. Universal J Pharm Res 2020; 5(6):36-42. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v5i6.510
- Al-Akwa AA, Zabara A, Al-Shamahy HA, et al. Prevalence of Staphylococcus aureus in dental infections and the occurrence of MRSA in isolates. Universal J Pharm Res 2020; 5(2):1-6. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v5i2.384
- Al-awadi, TAM, Al-haddad KA, Al-labani M, Al-Shamahy HA, Shaga-aldeen HM. Prevalence of malocclusion among Yemeni children of primary schools. Universal J Pharm Res 2020; 5(1):1-6. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v5i1.355
- AL-Haddad KA, Ali Al-Najhi MM, Al-Akwa AAY, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility of Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans isolated from Localized Aggressive Periodontitis (LAP) Cases. J Dent Ora Heal Ad Re 2007; 103. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0463.2007.apm\_630.x

- 14. Al-Haddad KA, Al-Najhi MMA, Abbas AKM, Al-Akwa AAY, Al-Shamahy HA, Al-labani MA. Clinical features, age and sex distributions, risk factors and the type of bacteria isolated in periodontitis patients in Sana'a, Yemen. Universal J Pharm Res 2021; 6(1):1-8. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v6i1.532
- 15. Alhadi Y, Al-Shamahy HA, Aldilami A, Al-Hamzy M, Al-Haddad KA, *et al.* Prevalence and pattern of third molar impaction in sample of Yemeni adults. On J Dent Oral Health 2019; 1(5):1-6. https://doi.org/10.33552/0JD0H.2019.01.000523
- Alhadi Y, Rassem AH, Al-Shamahy HA, Al-Ghaffari KM. Causes for extraction of permanent teeth in general dental practices in Yemen. Universal J Pharm Res 2019; 4(2): 1-6. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v4i2.249
- Alhasani AH, Ishag RA, Yahya Al-Akwa AAY, et al. Association between the Streptococcus mutans biofilm formation and dental caries experience and antibiotics resistance in adult females. Universal J Pharm Res 2020; 5(6):1-3. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v5i5.478
- Alsamhari MMA, AlKhawlani MML, Al-Shamahy HA, et al. Antimicrobial activity of Sodium hypochlorite, nano Silver and Chlorhexidine against mono-species biofilms of selected microorganisms of oral sources. Universal J Pharm Res 2022; 7(1):11-16. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v7i1.716
- Al-Kasem MA, Al-Shamahi NYA, Al-Shamahy HA, Al-Akwa AAY, Al-labani MA. Panorama-Radiographic Assessment of Impacted Teeth and Associated Pathosis Among Selected Males in Sana'a City, Yemen. J Dent Ora Heal Ad Re: JDOHAR 2020;:101
- 20. Alsamhari MMA, Al-Najhi MMA, Al-Shamahy HA, Aldossary OAI. Analysis of biofilms for *Streptococcus mutans* from dental root surfaces of adult patients with root caries. Universal J Pharm Res 2021; 6(5):19-23. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v6i5.668
- 21. Al-Shamahi NY, Abbas AM, Al-Shamahy HA, et al. Panoramic Radiographic Analysis of Signs of Proximity to the Third Molar Roots of the Mandibular Canal in Yemen. J Med Clin Case Rep 2020; 2(3): 1-7.
- 22. Al-Shamahi NYA, Abbas Al-Kasem M, Al-Shamahy HA, Al-Akwa AAY, Al-Ghaffari KM, Al-Mortada AM, Al-labani MA. Radiographic assessment of the course and visibility of the mandibular canal by panoramic radiography. Universal J Pharm Res 2020; 5(5):17-20. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v5i5.481
- 23. Al-Shamahy HA, Abbas AMA, Mahdie Mohammed AM, Alsameai AM. Bacterial and Fungal Oral Infections Among Patients Attending Dental Clinics in Sana'a City-Yemen. On J Dent Oral Health 2018; 1(1): 1-6. https://doi.org/10.33552/OJDOH.2018.01.000504
- 24. Al-Shami IZ, Al-Shamahy HA, Abdul Majeed ALA, Al-Ghaffari KM and Obeyah AA. Association between the salivary *Streptococcus Mutans* levels and dental caries experience in adult females. On J Dent Oral Health 2018; 1(1):1-5. https://doi.org/10.33552/0JD0H.2018.01.000505
- 25. Bamashmoos, KAO, Alhasani AH, Al-Akwa AAY, Al-Shamahy HA *et al.* Prevalence of premature loss of primary teeth at the age of 6-10 years in Sana'a city, Yemen. Universal J Pharm Res 2020; 5(4):1-6. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v5i4.439
- 26. Dahaq WAM, Al-Kholani AIM, Al-Kibsi TAM, Al-Deen HS, Al-Shamahy HA, AL-Haddad KA, Al-Akwa AAY, Al-labani MA. Tanaka and Johnston's mixed dentition validity: an analysis among Yemeni adults in Sana'a city. Universal J Pharm Res 2021; 6(6):1-5. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v6i6.691
- 27. Gylan EMA, Muharram BA, Al-Shamahy HA, et al. In vitro evaluation of the antimicrobial activity of five herbal extracts against Streptococcus mutans. Universal J Pharm Res 2022; 7(1):1-6. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v7i1.721
- Mutaher NJA, AL-Haddad KA, Al-Shamahy HA, *et al.* Prevalence and causes of traumatic dental injuries to anterior teeth among primary school children in Sana'a city, Yemen. Universal J Pharm Res 2020; 5(3):38-43.

https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v5i3.329

- 29. Shogaa Al-Deen SH, Al-Ankoshy AAM, Al-Najhi MMA, Al-Shamahy HA, *et al.* Porphyromonas gingivalis: biofilm formation, antimicrobial susceptibility of isolates from cases of Localized Aggressive Periodontitis (LAP). Universal J Pharm Res 2021; 6 (4): 1-6.
  - https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v6i4.633
- Yehia LAB, AL-Haddad KA, Al-labani MA, Al-Shamahy HA, Shaga-aldeen HM. Occlusal characteristics of the primary dentition among a sample of Yemeni pre-school children. Universal J Pharm Res 2020; 5(1):1-6. https://doi.org/10.22270/ujpr.v5i1.359
- Neupane K, Dhami B, Pandey N.Maxillary Sinus Septa: Prevalence and variation with gender and location. J Nepalese Soc Periodontol Oral Implantol 2021; 5(2): 10.
- 32. Lopes LJ, Gamba TO, Bertinato JVJ, Freitas DQ. Comparison of panoramic radiography and CBCT to identify maxillary posterior roots invading the maxillary sinus. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 45(6):2016; 0043. https://doi.org/10.1259/dmfr.20160043
- 33. Samara O, Mujalli M, Al Ryalat N, et al. Maxillary sinus septa; prevalence, location, and relation to maxillary sinusitis. Research 2016. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.1766.8720
- Van Zyl AW, van Heerden WF. A retrospective analysis of maxillary sinus septa on reformatted computerised tomography scans. Clin Oral Implants Res 2009; 20(12):1398-401.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0501.2009.01802.x
- 35. Yang HM, Bae HE, Won SY, Hu KS, Song WC, Paik DJ, *et al.* The buccofacial wall of maxillary sinus: an anatomical consideration for sinus augmentation. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res 2009; 11 Suppl 1:e2-6.
  - https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1708-8208.2009.00138.x
- 36. Al-Zahrani MS, Al-Ahmari MM, Al-Zahrani AA, Al-Mutairi KD, Zawawi KH. Prevalence and morphological variations of maxillary sinus septa in different age groups: a CBCT analysis. Ann Saudi Med 2020 May; 40(3):200–6. https://doi.org/10.5144/0256-4947.2020.200

- 37. Orhan K, Kusakci Seker B, Aksoy S, Bayindir H, Berberoğlu A, Seker E. Cone beam CT evaluation of maxillary sinus septa prevalence, height, location and morphology in children and an adult population. Med Princ Pract 2013; 22(1):47–53. https://doi.org/10.1159/000339849
- Malec M, Smektala T, Tutak M, Trybek G, Sporniak-Tutak K. Maxillary sinus septa prevalence and morphology: computed tomography based analysis. Int J Morphol 2015; 33(1):144–8.
- Jain V, Mathur VP, Pillai RS, Kalra S. A preliminary study to find out maximum occlusal bite force in Indian individuals. Indian J Dent Res 2014 Jun; 25(3):325–30.
- Kannaperuman J, Natarajarathinam G, Rao AV, Muthusamy N. Cross-sectional study estimating prevalence of maxillary sinus septum in South Indian population. J Dent Implant 2015; 5(1):16–8. https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-6781.154423
- 41. Kocak N, Alpoz E, Boyacioglu H. Morphological assessment of maxillary sinus septa variations with conebeam computed tomography in a Turkish population. Eur J Dent 2019; 13(1):42–6. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0039-1688541
- 42. Selcuk A, Ozcan KM, Akdogan O, Bilal N, Dere H. Variations of maxillary sinus and accompanying anatomical and pathological structures. J Craniofac Surg 2008; 19(1):159–64. https://doi.org/10.1097/scs.0b013e3181577b01
- 43. Jang SY, Chung K, Jung S, Park HJ, Oh HK, Kook MS. Comparative study of the sinus septa between dentulous and edentulous patients by cone beam computed tomography. Implant Dent 2014; 23(4):477–81.
- https://doi.org/10.1097/ID.0000000000000107
  44. Çakur B, Sümbüllü MA, Durna D. Relationship among schneiderian membrane, under wood's septa, and the maxillary sinus inferior border. Clin Implant Dent Relat Res 2013; 15(1):83–7.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1708-8208.2011.00336.x

45. Schwartz-Arad D, Herzberg R, Dolev E. The prevalence of surgical complications of the sinus graft procedure and their impact on implant survival. J Periodontol 2004; 75(4):511–6. https://doi.org/10.1902/jop.2004.75.4.511